

IE170: Algorithms in Systems Engineering: Lecture 11

Jeff Linderoth

Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering
Lehigh University

February 9, 2007

Last Time

- Easiest Quiz Ever

This Time

- Intro to Dynamic Programming



Dynamic Programming

- Not really an algorithm but a technique.
- Not really “programming” like Java programming

Dynamic Programming in a Nutshell

- 1 Characterize the structure of an optimal solution
- 2 Recursively define the value of an optimal solution
- 3 Compute the value of an optimal solution “from the bottom up”
- 4 Construct optimal solution (if required)



Capital Budgeting

- A company has \$5 million to allocate to its three plants for possible expansion.
- Each plant has submitted different proposals on how it intends to spend the money.
- Each proposal gives the cost of the expansion c and the total revenue expected r .

Investment Possibilities

Proposal	Plant 1		Plant 2		Plant 3	
	c_1	r_1	c_2	r_2	c_3	r_3
1	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	1	5	2	8	1	4
3	2	6	3	9	-	-
4	-	-	4	12	-	-



More Setup

- Each plant will only be permitted to enact one of its proposals.
- The goal is to maximize the firm's revenues resulting from the allocation of the \$5 million.
- Assume that any of the \$5 million we don't spend is lost

Solve It!

How would you solve this problem?

Solution Methods

- One way—Enumeration: only $2 \times 3 \times 4 = 24$ possibilities, and many of these don't obey the budget constraint
- This doesn't scale well.
- Let's think of another way:



Building a Solution

- Let's break the problem into three **stages**: each stage represents the money allocated to a single plant.
- Each stage is divided into **states**. A state encompasses the information required to go from one stage to the next. In this case the states for stages 1, 2, and 3 are
 - 1 $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$: the amount of money spent on plant 1, represented as x_1
 - 2 $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$: the amount of money spent on plants 1 and 2, represented as x_2
 - 3 $\{5\}$, the amount of money spent on plants 1, 2, and 3 (x_3)



States

- Associated with each state is a **revenue**.
- Note that to make a decision at stage 3, it is only necessary to know how much was spent on plants 1 and 2, not **how** it was spent.
- Also notice that we will want $x_3 = 5$
- Let's calculate the revenues associated with each state.
- This is easy for stage 1:

Available capital x_1	Optimal Proposal	Revenue
0	1	0
1	2	5
2	3	6
3	3	6
4	3	6
5	3	6



Stage 2

- In this case, we want to find the best solution for both plants 1 and 2. Just try all proposals. For example: if $x_2 = 4$, we could do
 - 1 Proposal 1, revenue 0, leaves 4 for stage 1, revenue 6, total 6
 - 2 Proposal 2, revenue 8, leaves 2 for stage 1, revenue 6, total 14
 - 3 Proposal 3, revenue 9, leaves 1 for stage 1, revenue 5, total 14
 - 4 Proposal 4, revenue 12, leaves 0 for stage 1, revenue 0, total 12

Stage 2—All Optimal Policies

Available capital x_2	Optimal Proposal	Revenue for 1 and 2
0	1	0
1	1	5
2	2	8
3	2	13
4	2 or 3	14
5	4	17



Stage 3

- We only care about $x_3 = 5$.
 - 1 Proposal 1, revenue 0, leaves 5 for previous stage, revenue 17, total 17
 - 2 Proposal 2, revenue 4, leaves 4 for previous stage, revenue 14, total 18

Optimal Solution

Proposal 2 at plant 3, Proposal 2 or 3 at plant 2, and proposal 3 or 2 (resp.) at plant 1



Not Recursion Again!?!?!?

- All calculations are done recursively:
- Stage 2 calculations are based on stage 1, stage 3 only on stage 2.
- If you are at a state, **all future decisions are made independent of how you got to the state**
- This is **the principle of optimality**, and all of dynamic programming rests on this assumption.

- $r(k_j), c(k_j)$: Revenue and cost for proposal k_j at stage j
- $f_j(x_j)$: Revenue of state j in stage j
- The following two equations hold:

$$f_1(x_1) = \max_{\{k_1 \mid c(k_1) \leq x_1\}} \{r(k_1)\}$$

$$f_j(x_j) = \max_{\{k_j \mid c(k_j) \leq x_j\}} \{r(k_j) + f_{j-1}(x_j - c(k_j))\} \quad j = 2, 3$$



Another Way

- y_1 : amount allocated to stages 1, 2, and 3,
- y_2 : amount allocated to stages 2 and 3,
- y_3 : amount allocated to stage 3

$$f_3(y_3) = \max_{\{k_3 \mid c(k_3) \leq y_3\}} \{r(k_3)\}$$

$$f_j(y_j) = \max_{\{k_j \mid c(k_j) \leq y_j\}} \{f_{j+1}(y_j - c(k_j))\}$$

- Sometimes backwards recursion is faster
- Sometimes forward recursion is faster
- Sometimes it doesn't matter



DP for Assembly Line Scheduling

- You have been hired to optimize the Yugo Factory in Prattville, AL
- There are two assembly lines. Each line has n different stations:

$$S_{11}, S_{12}, \dots, S_{1n} \text{ and } S_{21}, S_{22}, \dots, S_{2n}.$$

- Stations S_{1j} and S_{2j} perform the same function, but take a different amount of time: (a_{1j} and a_{2j})
- Once a Yugo is processed at station S_{ij} , it can either
 - 1 Stay on the same line (i) with no time penalty
 - 2 Transfer to the other line, but is then delayed by t_{ij}



Your Mission

Problem:

Given this setup, what stations should be chosen from each line in order to minimize the time that a car is in the factory?

- **Note:** We can't (efficiently) just check all possibilities?
- How many are there?



A Better Way

- A better way to find an optimal solution is to think about what properties an optimal solution must have.

Question

- What is the fastest way to get through station S_{1j} ?
- If $j = 1$: a_{11}
- If $j \geq 2$, then we have two choices for how to get through S_{1j}
 - Through $S_{1,j-1}$ then to S_{1j}
 - Through $S_{2,j-1}$ then to S_{1j}



Key Observation

- Suppose fastest way through S_{1j} is through $S_{1,j-1}$
- We **must** have taken a fastest way to get through $S_{1,j-1}$ in this fastest solution through S_{1j} .
- If there was a faster way through $S_{1,j-1}$, we could have used it instead to get through S_{1j} faster.
- Likewise, suppose the fastest way through S_{1j} is from $S_{2,j-1}$. We must have used a fastest way through $S_{2,j-1}$

Optimal Substructure

An optimal solution to the problem (The fastest way through S_{1j}) contains within it an optimal solution to subproblems: either the fastest way through $S_{1,j-1}$ or $S_{2,j-1}$



Optimal Substructure

- Fastest way through S_{1j} is either (fastest of)
 - fastest way through $S_{1,j-1}$ then directly through S_{1j}
 - fastest way through $S_{2,j-1}$, transfer lines, then through S_{1j}
- Fastest way through S_{2j} is either (fastest of)
 - fastest way through $S_{2,j-1}$ then directly through S_{2j}
 - fastest way through $S_{1,j-1}$, transfer lines, then through S_{2j}



A Recursive Solution

- Suppose that we have entry times e_i and exit times x_i
- Let $f_i(j)$ be the fastest time to get through $S_{ij} \forall i = 1, 2 \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n$

A DP for the Optimal Solution Value

$$\begin{aligned} f^* &= \min(f_1(n) + x_1, f_2(n) + x_2) \\ f_1(1) &= e_1 + a_{11} \\ f_2(1) &= e_2 + a_{21} \\ f_1(j) &= \min(f_1(j-1) + a_{1j}, f_2(j-1) + t_{2,j-1} + a_{1j}) \\ f_2(j) &= \min(f_2(j-1) + a_{2j}, f_1(j-1) + t_{1,j-1} + a_{2j}) \end{aligned}$$



Analyze the recursion

- Let's compute how many times we reference/compute $f_i(j) : r_i(j)$
- $r_1(n) = r_2(n) = 1$
- $r_1(j) = r_2(j) = r_1(j+1) + r_2(j+1)$ for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$
- Problem 15.1.2 – Show that $r_i(j) = 2^{n-j}$



Bottom's Up

- The number of references to $f_i(j)$ is so large only because we compute f^* in a top down fashion
- Really $f_i(j)$ only depends on times from its **immediate** predecessor stations $f_1(j - 1)$ and $f_2(j - 1)$
- In this case, we should compute $f_i(j)$ in **increasing** order of j
- It essentially amounts to “building a table” of the value functions $f_i(j)$ for each $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$
- If you want to know the optimal solution, you need to “keep track” as you go.
- $l_i(j)$: Line number whose $j - 1$ station was used to find the fastest way through i

