

# IE170: Algorithms in Systems Engineering: Lecture 3

Jeff Linderoth

Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering  
Lehigh University

January 19, 2007



## Taking Stock

### Last Time

- Lots of funky math
  - Playing with summations: Formulae and Bounds
  - Sets
- A brief introduction to our friend  $\Theta$

### This Time

- Questions on Homework?
- $\Theta$ ,  $O$  and  $\Omega$
- Recursion
- Analyzing Recurrences



## Comparing Algorithms

- Consider algorithm  $A$  with running time given by  $f$  and algorithm  $B$  with running time given by  $g$ .
- We are interested in knowing

$$L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)}$$

- What are the four possibilities?
  - $L = 0$ :  $g$  grows faster than  $f$
  - $L = \infty$ :  $f$  grows faster than  $g$
  - $L = c$ :  $f$  and  $g$  grow at the same rate.
  - The limit doesn't exist.



## $\Theta$ Notation

- We now define the set

$$\Theta(g) = \{f : \exists c_1, c_2, n_0 > 0 \text{ such that } c_1g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2g(n) \forall n \geq n_0\}$$

- If  $f \in \Theta(g)$ , then we say that  $f$  and  $g$  **grow at the same rate** or that they are **of the same order**.
- Note that

$$f \in \Theta(g) \Leftrightarrow g \in \Theta(f)$$

- We also know that if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = c$$

for some constant  $c$ , then  $f \in \Theta(g)$ .



## Big-O Notation

$$O(g) = \{f \mid \exists \text{ constants } c, n_0 > 0 \text{ s.t. } f(n) \leq cg(n) \forall n \geq n_0\}$$

- If  $f \in O(g)$ , then we say that “ $f$  is big-O of”  $g$  or that  $g$  grows at least as fast as  $f$
- If we say  $2n^2 + 3n + 1 = 2n^2 + O(n)$  this means that  $2n^2 + 3n + 1 = 2n^2 + f(n)$  for some  $f \in O(n)$  (e.g.  $f(n) = 3n + 1$ ).



## Big-Ω Notation

$$\Omega(g) = \{f \mid \exists \text{ constants } c, n_0 > 0 \text{ s.t. } 0 \leq cg(n) \leq f(n) \forall n \geq n_0\}$$

- $f \in \Omega(g)$  means that  $g$  is an asymptotic lower bound on  $f$
- $f$  “grows faster than”  $g$

### Note

- $f \in \Theta(g) \Leftrightarrow f \in O(g)$  and  $f \in \Omega(g)$ .
- $f \in \Omega(g) \Leftrightarrow g \in O(f)$ .



## Strict Asymptotic Bounds. “Little oh”

$$f \in o(g) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0$$

$$f \in \omega(g) \Leftrightarrow g \in o(f) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \infty$$

### Note

- $f \in o(g) \Rightarrow f \in O(g) \setminus \Theta(g)$ .
- $f \in \omega(g) \Rightarrow f \in O(g) \setminus \Theta(g)$ .



## Comparing Functions

- The notation we have just defined gives us a way of ordering functions.
- This gives us a method for comparing algorithms based on their running times!

### The Upshot!

- $f \in O(g)$  is like “ $f \leq g$ ,”
- $f \in \Omega(g)$  is like “ $f \geq g$ ,”
- $f \in o(g)$  is like “ $f < g$ ,”
- $f \in \omega(g)$  is like “ $f > g$ ,” and
- $f \in \Theta(g)$  is like “ $f = g$ .”



## Examples

### Some Functions in $O(n^2)$

- $n^2$
- $n^2 + n$
- $n^2 + 1000n$
- $1000n^2 + 1000n$
- $n$
- $n^{1.9999}$
- $n^2 / \lg \lg n$

### Some Functions in $\Omega(n^2)$

- $n^2$
- $n^2 + n$
- $n^2 + 1000n$
- $1000n^2 + 1000n$
- $n^3$
- $n^{2.0001}$
- $n^2 / \lg \lg n$

### A Question

Which of these are  $o(n^2)$ ?,  $\omega(n^2)$ ?



## Commonly Occurring Functions

### Polynomials

- $f(n) = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i n^i$  is a **polynomial of degree  $k$**
- Polynomials  $f$  of degree  $k$  are in  $\Theta(n^k)$ .

### Exponentials

- A function in which  $n$  appears as an exponent on a constant is an **exponential function**, i.e.,  $2^n$ .
- For all positive constants  $a$  and  $b$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^a}{b^n} = 0$ .
- This means that **exponential functions always grow faster than polynomials**



## More Functions

### Logarithms

- $x = \log_n(a) \Leftrightarrow b^x = a$
- Logarithms of different bases differ only by a constant multiple, so they all grow at the same rate.
- A **polylogarithmic** function is a function in  $O(\lg^k)$ .
- Polylogarithmic functions always grow more slowly than polynomials.

### Factorials

- $n! = n(n-1)(n-2) \cdots (1)$
- $n! = o(n^n)$ ,  $n! = \omega(2^n)$
- $\lg(n!) = \Theta(n \lg n)$



## Logs

- $a^n a^m = a^{n+m}$
- We use the notation
  - $\lg n = \log_2 n$
  - $\ln n = \log_e n$
  - $\lg^k n = (\lg n)^k$
- Changing the base of a logarithm changes its value by a constant factor

### Log Rules

- $a = b^{\log_b a}$
- $\lg(\prod_{k=1}^n a_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lg a_k$
- $\log_b a^n = n \log_b a$
- $\log_b a = (\log_c a) / (\log_c b)$
- $\log_b a = 1 / (\log_a b)$
- $a^{\log_b n} = n^{\log_b a}$



## Problem Difficulty

- The **difficulty** of a problem can be judged by the (worst-case) running time of the **best-known algorithm**.
- Problems for which there is an algorithm with polynomial running time (or better) are called **polynomially solvable**.
- Generally, these problems are considered to be **easy**.
  - Formally, they are in the complexity class  $\mathcal{P}$
- There are many interesting problems for which it is not known if there is a polynomial-time algorithm.
- These problems are generally considered **difficult**.
  - This is known as the complexity class  $\mathcal{NP}$ .



A+++++

- You will get a very good grade in this class if you prove  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP}$
- It is open of the great open questions in mathematics: Are these truly difficult problems, or have we not yet discovered the right algorithm?
- If you answer this question, you can win a **million dollars**: [http://www.claymath.org/millennium/P\\_vs\\_NP/](http://www.claymath.org/millennium/P_vs_NP/)
- Most important, you can get the jokes from the Simpsons: [www.mathsci.appstate.edu/~sjg/simpsonsmath/](http://www.mathsci.appstate.edu/~sjg/simpsonsmath/)
- In this course, we will stick mostly to the easy problems, for which a polynomial time algorithm is known.



## Analyzing Recurrences

### Deep Thoughts

To understand recursion, we must first understand recursion

- General methods for analyzing recurrences
  - Substitution
  - Master Theorem
  - Generating Functions
- Note that when we analyze a recurrence, we may not get or need an exact answer, only an asymptotic one
- We may prove the running time is in  $O(f)$  or  $\Theta(f)$



## Good Stuff

- If you are only concerned about the asymptotic behavior of a recurrence, then
  - 1 You can ignore floors and ceilings: (Asymptotic behavior doesn't care if you round down or up)
  - 2 We assume that all algorithms run in  $\Theta(1)$  (Constant time) for a small enough fixed input size  $n$ . This makes the base case of induction easy.



## A Few Examples of Recurrences

- This recurrence arises in algorithms that loop through the input to eliminate one item.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ T(n-1) + n & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

- This recurrence arises in algorithms that halve the input in one step.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ T(n/2) + 1 & n > 1 \end{cases}$$



## Soms More Recurrences

- This recurrence arises in algorithms that halve the input in one step, but have to scan through the data at each step.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ T(n/2) + n & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

- This recurrence arises in algorithms that quarter the input in one step, but have to scan through the data 4 times at each step.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ T(n/4) + 4n & n > 1 \end{cases}$$



## Solving Recurrences by Substitution

### A Simple Two Part Plan

- 1 Guess an answer
- 2 Use induction to prove or disprove your guess

- Here let's show that if

$$T(n) = T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + 1 \Rightarrow T \in O(\lg n)$$



## The Master Theorem

- Most recurrences that we will be interested in are of the form

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ aT(n/b) + f(n) & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

- The **Master Theorem** tells us how to analyze recurrences of this form.

- If  $f \in O(n^{\log_b a - \epsilon})$ , for some constant  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $T \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$ .
- If  $f \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$ , then  $T \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \lg n)$ .
- If  $f \in \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \epsilon})$ , for some constant  $\epsilon > 0$ , and if  $af(n/b) \leq cf(n)$  for some constant  $c < 1$  and  $n > n_0$ , then  $T \in \Theta(f)$ .

- How do we interpret this?



## A Few More Examples

- This recurrence arises in algorithms that partition the input in one step, but then make recursive calls on both pieces.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ 2T(n/2) + 1 & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

- This recurrence arises in algorithms that scan through the data at each step, divide it in half and then make recursive calls on each piece.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ 2T(n/2) + n & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

- We can analyze these using the Master Theorem.



## A Few More Comments on Recursion

- Generally speaking, recursive algorithms should have the following two properties to be guarantee well-defined termination.
  - They should solve an explicit **base case**.
  - Each recursive call should be made with a **smaller input size**.
- All recursive algorithms have an associated **tree** that can be used to diagram the function calls.
- Execution of the program essentially requires **traversal** of the tree.
- By adding up the number of steps at each **node** of the tree, we can compute the running time.
- We will revisit trees later in the course.



## The Call Stack

- The call stack of a program keeps track of the current sequence of function calls.
- When a new function call is made, data for the current one is saved on **the call stack**.
- When a function call returns, it returns to the next function on the top of the stack.
- The **stack depth** is the maximum number of functions on the stack at any one time.
- In a recursive program, the stack depth can be very large.
- This can create memory problems, even for simple recursive programs.
- There is also an overhead associated with each function call.



## Next Time

- Homework is due!
- Simple Sorting and Its Analysis
- Three Hours of Fun-Filled Lab

Go Bears!

