

IE170: Algorithms in Systems Engineering: Lecture 31

Jeff Linderoth

Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering
Lehigh University

April 20, 2007



I Hate A-Rod!



And Now You Will Too!

- **Programming Quiz: Monday 1PM**
- A-Rod ruined my day yesterday
- Therefore, I am going to crush you, just like A-Rod crushes a Joe Borowski hanging slider.

JUST KIDDING



LU-Decomposition

LU-DECOMPOSITION(A)

```
1  $n \leftarrow \text{rows}[L]$ 
2 for  $k \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$ 
3 do
4    $u_{kk} \leftarrow a_{kk}$ 
5   for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$ 
6   do
7      $\ell_{ik} \leftarrow a_{ik}/u_{kk}$ 
8      $u_{ki} \leftarrow a_{ki}$ 
9   for  $i \leftarrow k + 1$  to  $n$ 
10  do
11    for  $j \leftarrow k + 1$  to  $n$ 
12    do
13       $a_{ij} \leftarrow a_{ij} - \ell_{ik}u_{kj}$ 
```



LU \approx Gaussian Elimination

- We either have $A = LU$ or we have $MA = U$, and $L = M^{-1}$
- Because of the special structure of M , we have a (fairly) remarkable relationship

$$M^{-1} = (M_{n-1} \cdots M_2 M_1)^{-1} = M_1^{-1} M_2^{-1} \cdots = L$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ m_{21} & 1 & & & \\ m_{31} & m_{32} & 1 & & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ m_{n1} & m_{n2} & m_{n3} & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the m_{ik} are **the multipliers from Gaussian elimination!**

- So L and U can be derived directly from the elimination process:

$$\ell_{ik} = m_{ik} = \frac{a_{ik}^{(k)}}{a_{kk}^{(k)}} \quad u_{kj} = a_{kj}^{(k)}$$



Recall!

- A square matrix P is a **permutation matrix** if there is a single 1 in each row and column.
- A **square** matrix whose columns all have length (norm) 1, and that are (pairwise) orthogonal is called **orthogonal**.
- If $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is orthogonal then (by definition) $Q^T Q = I$, so then $Q^T = Q^{-1}$.
- What effect does (right)-multiplying by a permutation matrix have? (**Shuffles Columns**)
- What effect does (left)-multiplying by a permutation matrix have? (**Shuffles Rows**)
- To make Gaussian Elimination work, we sometimes need to swap two rows.
- The resulting “transformation” matrix is a **symmetric** permutation matrix P



Zero Pivots in $MA = U$

- We may need to swap rows before every iteration of the elimination ($MA = U$)
- In fact, we may **want** to perform row exchanges
- In Gaussian Elimination, (with row swaps), really what we end up with is

$$M_{n-1} P_{n-1} \cdots M_2 P_2 M_1 P_1 A = U$$

- Let's show how we can get all of the permutations “pushed” to the outside, and thus show that **we can think of it as just reordering the rows of A one time**. Leaving us with our desired factorization:
 $PA = LU$



Does P Mess up our Triangular Solves?

- Note that the system $PAx = Pb$ is equivalent to the original system, which is then equivalent to $LUx = Pb$.
- We can solve the system in two steps:
 - First solve the system $Ly = Pb$ (forward substitution).
 - Then solve the system $Ux = y$ (backward substitution).
- Pb is really nothing more than a “permuted” version of b .
- Typically permutation matrices P are (compactly) represented by an array $\pi[1, \dots, n]$.
- $\pi[i] = 1 \Rightarrow P_{i, \pi[i]} = 1, P_{ij} = 0 \forall j \neq \pi[i]$
- Recall: left multiply just takes linear combinations of the rows.
- PA has (i, j) entry of $a_{\pi[i], j}$ and Pb has $b_{\pi[i]}$ in the i^{th} position.



Simple Case

- Suppose for simplicity that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, so Gaussian Elimination produces:

$$M_2 P_2 M_1 P_1 A = U$$

- P_2 is orthogonal, so $P_2^T P_2 = I$, thus

$$M_2 P_2 M_1 P_1 A = M_2 P_2 M_1 P_2^T P_2 P_1 A = M_2 \hat{M}_1 P_2 P_1 A = U.$$

where $\hat{M}_1 = P_2 M_1 P_2^T$

- That is \hat{M}_1 has the rows and columns of M_1 permuted by P_2 .



Specifically

- For example,

$$P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -m_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ -m_{31} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{M}_1 = P_2 M_1 P_2^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -m_{31} & 1 & 0 \\ -m_{21} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



In The End

- In General (by inserting enough reordering permutation matrices), we get

$$\hat{M} P A = U$$

or

$$P A = L U$$

with $L = \hat{M}^{-1}$

- We'll do an example here...



Why Exchange Rows?

- We may want to exchange rows, even if the pivot element is just very small in magnitude.
- Pivoting on small numbers can lead to a **significant** loss of accuracy. Suppose we are computing rounding to four significant digits
- Consider the simple system:

$$A x = \begin{pmatrix} .0001 & .5 \\ .4 & .3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} .5 \\ .1 \end{pmatrix}$$

whose exact solution is $x = (.9999, .9998)^T$



Puh, Puh, Puh, Pivot

- Pivot on .0001, gives (to 4 significant digits)

$$MAx = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -4000 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} .0001 & .5 \\ 0 & -2000 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} .5 \\ -2000 \end{pmatrix}$$

Whose solution is $(0, 1)^T \neq (.9999, .9998)^T$

- **Yikes!!!!** Computers Can Be Wrong!



Partial Pivoting

- This horrible loss of accuracy in the solution can come from having a small pivot. So let's be smarter:
- Pivot on element with the **largest** (magnitude) element remaining in the column:

$$\ell^* = \arg \max_{i \geq k} |a_{ik}^{(k)}|.$$

- Swap rows k and ℓ^* at step k of the algorithm
- While this doesn't **always** eliminate numerical instability, it usually works well.
- We could (though using both row **and column** permutations), implement a **complete pivoting** strategy in which the **largest** remaining matrix element is used as the pivot element.



The LUP Decomposition – The “Book Way”

- The element a_{11} is called the **pivot element**.
- Note that the above decomposition method fails whenever the pivot element is zero.
- In this case, we can permute the rows of A to obtain a new pivot element.
- In fact, for numerical stability, it is desirable to have the pivot element be as large as possible in absolute value.
- If no nonzero pivot is available, A is singular.
- This leads to the following modified factorization.

$$\begin{aligned} QA &= \begin{bmatrix} a_{k1} & w^T \\ v & A' \end{bmatrix} & (1) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ v/a_{k1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{k1} & w^T \\ 0 & A' - vw^T/a_{k1} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$



Finding the LUP Decomposition (cont.)

- As before, we obtain L' , U' , and P' and we get

$$PA = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & P' \end{bmatrix} QA \quad (3)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & P' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ v/a_{k1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{k1} & w^T \\ 0 & A' - vw^T/a_{k1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ P'v/a_{k1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{k1} & w^T \\ 0 & P'(A' - vw^T/a_{k1}) \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ P'v/a_{k1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{k1} & w^T \\ 0 & L'U' \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ P'v/a_{k1} & L' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{k1} & w^T \\ 0 & U' \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$



Next Time!

- **Quiz:** in lab April 23!
- Two or Three **simple** programming questions.
- You **will** be able to use any of **your own** code from teh previous labs
- You **will not!** be allowed to access the Internet, not even to check if the Red Sox are beating the Yankees.

