

## IE418: Integer Programming. Decomposition Techniques.

Jeff Linderoth

Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering  
Lehigh University

20th April 2005



- The problem (IP) for now:

$$z^* = \max_{x \in X} \{c^T x \mid Dx \leq d\}$$

- $X = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \mid Ax \leq b\}$
- The constraints defining  $X$  are “nice” in the sense that we can solve  $\max_{x \in X} \{c^T x\}$  effectively.
- Maybe  $X$  is a network problem
- Maybe  $X$  is a knapsack problem
- Maybe  $X$  has an efficient combinatorial algorithm

## Lagrangian Dual

- Consider the problem (LR( $u$ )) (for  $u \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ )

$$z(u) = \max_{x \in X} \{c^T x + u^T (d - Dx)\}$$

- $x$  feasible to IP  $\Rightarrow x$  feasible to LR( $u$ ).
- $x$  feasible to IP,  $u \geq 0 \Rightarrow c^T x + u^T (d - Dx) \geq c^T x$
- $z(u) \geq z^* \forall u \geq 0$

- Since  $z(u)$  provides an upper bound  $\forall u \geq 0$ , for bound-based algorithms, we would like for it to provide as tight a bound as possible:

$$z_{LD} = \min_{u \geq 0} z(u)$$



## Strength of Lagrangian Relaxation

- We'll assume that  $X$  is bounded, so that it contains a finite number of points  $S = \{x^1, x^2, \dots, x^s\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} z_{LD} &= \min_{u \geq 0} z(u) \\ &= \min_{u \geq 0} \max_{x \in X} \{c^T x + u^T (d - Dx)\} \\ &= \min_{u \geq 0} \max_{s \in \{1, 2, \dots, |S|\}} \{c^T x^s + u^T (d - Dx^s)\} \\ &= \min_{u \geq 0, \eta \in \mathbb{R}} \{\eta \mid \eta \geq c^T x^s + u^T (d - Dx^s) \forall s \in S\} \end{aligned}$$

- Take the LP Dual of the last problem



## Strength of Lagrangian Relaxation

$$z_{LD} = \max \sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s (c^T x^s)$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s &= 1 \\ \sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s (Dx^s - d) &\leq 0 \\ \lambda_s &\geq 0 \quad \forall s \in S \end{aligned}$$



## Grouping Terms

$$z_{LD} = \max c^T \left( \sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s x^s \right)$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s &= 1 \\ D \left( \sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s x^s \right) &\leq d \\ \lambda_s &\geq 0 \quad \forall s \in S \end{aligned}$$

$$x = \sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s x^s, \sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s = 1, \lambda_s \geq 0 \quad \forall s \in S$$

$$z_{LD} = \max \{ c^T x \mid Dx \leq d, x \in \text{conv}(X) \}$$



## Things We Learned

### A Fundamental Concept

Solving the Lagrangian Dual is equivalent to finding a convex combination of points in  $X$  that also satisfy the complicating constraint  $Dx \leq d$

- $z_{LD} = \min_{u \geq 0} z(u)$
- $z(u) = \max_{s \in \{1, 2, \dots, |S|\}} \{c^T x^s + u^T (d - Dx^s)\}$
- $z(u)$  is the maximum of a number of linear functions, it is therefore a piecewise linear convex function.
- You can solve these problems using the subgradient method
- For those of you in Stochastic Programming – this should look slightly familiar



## Subgradient Algorithm

- The idea of the subgradient algorithm is to first choose a  $u$ , then evaluate  $z(u)$  and get a direction of improvement.
- Here is a basic subgradient algorithm for solving LD:
  - 1 Choose initial Lagrange multipliers  $u^0 \geq 0$  and set  $t = 0$ .
  - 2 Solve the Lagrangian subproblem  $LR(u)$ .
  - 3 Calculate the current violation of the complicating constraints  $s = d - Dx$ .
  - 4 Set  $u^{t+1} \leftarrow u^t - \mu^t \frac{s}{\|s\|}$  where  $\mu^t$  is the chosen **step size**.
  - 5 Set  $t \leftarrow t + 1$  and go to step 2.
- This algorithm is guaranteed to converge to the optimal solution as long as  $\{\mu^t\}_{t=0}^{\infty} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \mu^t = \infty$
- Convergence is slow



## Comparing LP relaxation to LR

- $z_{IP} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\{c^T x \mid Ax \leq b, Dx \leq d, x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n\}$
- $Dx \leq d$  are the **complicating constraints**
- $X = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \mid Ax \leq b\}$
- $\mathcal{D} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \mid Dx \leq d\}$
- $z_{LD} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min_{u \geq 0} \max_{x \in X} \{c^T x + u^T(d - Dx)\}$
- $z_{IP} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\{c^T x \mid x \in \text{conv}(X \cap \mathcal{D})\}$
- $z_{LPCONV} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\{c^T x \mid Dx \leq d, x \in \text{conv}(X)\}$

### Our Key Theorem

$$z_{LD} = z_{LPCONV}$$



## What About $z_{LP}$ ?

- $R(X) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \mid Ax \leq b\}$
- $z_{LP} = \max\{c^T x \mid Dx \leq d, x \in R(X)\}$
- $\text{conv}(X) \subseteq R(X)$
- $\Rightarrow z_{LP} \geq z_{LPCONV} = z_{LD}$

### Two key points

- Bound obtained from solving Lagrangian Dual is **sure** to be at least as tight as that from solving the LP relaxation
- If  $R(X) = \text{conv}(X)$ , i.e. **if  $X$  has all integer extreme points**, then  $z_{LP} = z_{LD}$ : The bounds are the same!



## Dantzig-Wolfe Decomposition

- A way to compute  $z_{LPCONV}$  directly.
- Assume that  $X$  is bounded (just for simplicity), with extreme points  $T = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{|T|}\}$
- $\text{conv}(X) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x = \sum_{t \in T} \lambda_t p_t, \sum_{t \in T} \lambda_t = 1, \lambda_t \geq 0 \forall t \in T\}$

$$z_{DW} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} z_{LPCONV} = \max c^T \left( \sum_{t \in T} \lambda_t p_t \right)$$

$$z_{LPCONV} = \max c^T x$$

subject to

subject to

$$Dx \leq d$$

$$x \in \text{conv}(X)$$

$$D \left( \sum_{t \in T} \lambda_t p_t \right) \leq d$$

$$\sum_{t \in T} \lambda_t = 1$$

$$\lambda_t \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in T$$



## Branch-and-Price

- [1]
- [2]

C. BARNHART, E. L. JOHNSON, G. L. NEMHAUSER, M. W. P. SAVELSBERGH, AND P. H. VANCE, *Branch and price: Column generation for solving huge integer programs*, Operations Research, 46 (1998), pp. 316–329.

F. VANDERBECK AND M. SAVELSBERGH, *A generic view at the Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition approach in mixed integer programming*, Operations Research Letters, (2005). Submitted.



## Benders' Decomposition

- Up until now, we have looked at the idea of complicating constraints.
- Benders' decomposition is based on the notion of complicating variables.
- Suppose we have the MIP
- Note that for a fixed  $x$ , this is a linear program.
- Consider the **fixed-charge network flow problem**: if the set of open arcs is fixed, the problem becomes easy.

$$\begin{aligned} & \max c^T x + h^T y \\ & \text{subject to} \\ & Ax + Gy \leq b \\ & x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \\ & y \in \mathbb{R}_+^p \end{aligned}$$



## Formulating Benders' Decomposition

- First, assume  $x$  is fixed to obtain the resulting linear program

$$z_{LP}(x) = \max\{hy \mid Gy \leq b - Ax\}$$

and its dual

$$\min\{u(b - Ax) \mid uG \geq h, u \in \mathbb{R}_+^m\}$$

- Assuming the dual polyhedron is nonempty and bounded, MIP can be restated as

$$z = \max_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \left( cx + \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, T\}} u^i(b - Ax) \right)$$

where  $\{u^i\}_{i=1}^T$  are the extreme points of the dual polyhedron.



## Formulating Benders' Decomposition (cont.)

- As before, we can reformulate this as

$$z = \max\{\eta \mid \eta \leq u^i(b - Ax), i \in \{1, \dots, T\}, x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n\}$$

- We can again in theory solve this formulation using constraint generation.
- The main use of this technique is when  $A$  is block decomposable and the resulting IP is much easier than the original.
- There are also various relaxations to be obtained from this formulation.

