

IE 495 – Lecture 18

Stochastic Decomposition

Prof. Jeff Linderoth

March 26, 2003

Outline

- Review—Monte Carlo Methods
- “Interior” Sampling Methods
 - ◇ Stochastic Decomposition

Some Questions

$$v^* = \min_{x \in S} \{f(x) \equiv \mathbb{E}_P g(x; \xi)\}$$

- How can I use sampling to get a (statistical) lower bound on v^* ?
- How can I use sampling to get a (statistical) upper bound on v^* ?
- When do you think you will ever get your homeworks back?

Putting it all together

- $\hat{f}_N(x)$ is the sample average function
 - ◇ Draw $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^N$ from P
 - ◇ $\hat{f}_N(x) \equiv N^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^N g(x, \omega^j)$
 - ◇ $\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_N(x)] = f(x) \geq v^*$
- \hat{v}_N is the optimal solution value for the sample average function:
 - ◇ $\hat{v}_N \equiv \min_{x \in S} \left\{ \hat{f}_N(x) := N^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^N g(x, \omega^j) \right\}$
 - ◇ $\mathbb{E}[\hat{v}_N] \leq v^*$
- Estimate $\mathbb{E}(\hat{v}_N)$ as $\widehat{\mathbb{E}(\hat{v}_N)} = L_{N,M} = M^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M \hat{v}_N^j$
 - ◇ Solve M stochastic LP's, each of sampled size N .

The Gap



$f(\hat{x})$



$\hat{f}_{N'}(\hat{x})$



v^*



$\widehat{\mathbb{E}v}_N$



$\mathbb{E}\hat{v}_N$

Sampling Methods

- “Interior” sampling methods.
- Sample during the course of the algorithm
 - ◇ LShaped Method (Dantzig and Infanger)
 - ◇ Stochastic Decomposition (Higle and Sen)
 - ◇ Stochastic Quasi-gradient methods (Ermoliev)
- “Exterior” sampling methods
 - ◇ Sample. Then solve problem approximating problem.
 - ◇ Can we get (statistical) bounds on key solution quantities?

Stochastic Decomposition

- Designed to solve 2-stage stochastic LP with fixed recourse
- Assume randomness only in objective function
- Assume relatively complete recourse and $Q(x) \geq 0$.
- $X = \{\mathcal{R}_+^n \mid Ax = b\}$

$$\min_{x \in X} Q(x) \equiv \mathbb{E}_P Q(x, \omega) = \int_{\Omega} Q(x, \omega) dP(\omega)$$

$$Q(x, \omega) = \min_{y \in \mathcal{R}_+^p} \{q^T y : Wy = h(\omega) - T(\omega)x\}$$

Key Ideas–1

- Do sampling within the optimization
- Take one new sample per iteration
- A bit like “Using Bounds in the LShaped Method”
- There, we used Jensen’s Inequality to give a lower bound.
 - ◇ There the lower bound was based on using a limited number of samples (namely the mean) and conditioning (to be in a region of Ω to get progressively tighter lower bounds).
- Here, we just randomly draw a sample, and we produce a lower bound that is valid in expectation only.

Key Ideas-2

- Method for “approximately” solving lots of LPs fast
- Cuts “phase out” as they get older. (Since they were based on less sampled information).

Bounds in LShaped Method—Review

- Use the LShaped method to optimize the problem using $Q_L(x)$.
 - ◇ Only include $\bar{\omega}$ “scenarios”.
- When optimized with respect to $Q_L(x)$, compare to $Q_U(x)$, if we have one
- If $Q_U(x) - Q_L(x)$ is “sufficiently small”. Stop.
- Otherwise, refine the partition (which improves the bounds), and repeat.

More Detail

Partition form of Jensen's inequality...

- Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\Omega^l, l = 1, 2, \dots, v\}$ be some partition of Ω :

$$\mathbb{E}_\omega[Q(\hat{x}, \omega)] \geq \sum_{l=1}^v P(\omega \in \Omega^l) Q(\hat{x}, \mathbb{E}_\omega(\omega | \omega \in \Omega^l))$$

Lower Bounding-Based LShaped Method

0. Let $l = 1, \Omega_l = \Omega, k = 1, x^k = 0$

1. For $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ solve...

$$\pi_j = \arg \max_{\pi \in \mathfrak{R}^m} \{ \pi^T (h(\bar{\omega}_j) - T(\bar{\omega}_j)x^k) \mid W^T \pi \leq q \}$$

$$\bar{\omega}_j = \mathbb{E}_P(\omega \mid \omega \in \Omega_j)$$

2. Create cut as...

$$\theta \geq \sum_{j=1}^l \pi_j^T [(h(\bar{\omega}_j) - T(\bar{\omega}_j)x^k)] - \sum_{j=1}^l \pi_j^T [\pi_j^T T(\bar{\omega}_j)] (x - x^k)$$

$$(p_j = \mathbb{P}(\omega \in \Omega_j)).$$

Continuing

3. Let $k = k + 1$, add cut to master problem and solve

$$(x_k, \theta_k) = \arg \min_{x \in X} c^T x + \theta$$

subject to

$$\theta \geq \alpha_k + \beta_k x \quad \forall k = 1, 2, \dots$$

4. $\mathcal{L}(x_k) = c^T x_k + \theta_x \leq Q(x)$. If you are happy with x_k based on $\mathcal{L}(x_k)$, then quit, otherwise create a new partition of $\Omega = \{\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_l\}$. Go to 1.

(I'll draw some pictures in class)...

Stochastic Decomposition

0. Let $k = 1$, $x_k = 0$, $V = \emptyset$

1a. Draw random sample ω_k , and solve...

$$\pi_k = \arg \max_{\pi \in \mathcal{R}^m} \{ \pi^T (h(\bar{\omega}_k) - T((\bar{\omega}_k)x^k)) \mid W^T \pi \leq q \}$$

1b. $V = V \cup \pi^k$. For $j = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$, solve

$$\pi^j = \arg \max_{\pi \in V} \{ \pi^T ((h(\bar{\omega}_j) - T((\bar{\omega}_j)x^k))) \}$$

Stochastic Decomposition

2a. Create cut as...

$$\theta \geq 1/k \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j^T (h(\omega_j) - T(\omega_j)x_k)$$

- Call the cut $(\alpha_k + \beta_k^T x)$.

2b. For $j = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$, *Phase Out* old cuts as

$$\alpha_k + \beta_k^T x = (k - 1)/k(\alpha_{k-1} + \beta_{k-1}^T x).$$

Stochastic Decomposition

3. Solve Master Problem

$$(x_k, \theta_k) = \arg \min_{x \in X} c^T x + \theta$$

subject to

$$\theta \geq \alpha_k + \beta_k x \quad \forall k = 1, 2, \dots$$

- Go to 1.
- ★ There is some *subsequence* of the $x^k \rightarrow x^*$
- Typically people use some sort of statistical based stopping criteria

LP Duality

- By duality

$$Q(x, \omega) = \max_{\pi \in \mathfrak{R}^m} \{ \pi^T (h(\omega) - T(\omega)x) \mid \pi^T W \leq q \}$$

- So if $\hat{\pi}$ satisfies $\hat{\pi}^T W \leq q$, then

$$Q(x, \omega) \geq \hat{\pi}^T (h(\omega) - T(\omega)x) \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega, \forall x \in X$$

? (Why?)

\Rightarrow The lower bounding function $\mathcal{L}(x)$ is (only) such that
 $\mathbb{E}_P \mathcal{L}(x) \leq Q(x)$

Checkpoint! – What we've learned

- Modeling the Deterministic Equivalent of Stochastic (Linear) Programs
 - ◇ Two-stage
 - ◇ Multi-stage. (Modeling our favorite eight-syllable word).
- EVPI
- VSS
- Recourse Function

We Really Learned This Much?

- Two-Stage Stochastic LP With Recourse
 - ◇ Properties of the recourse function
 - Convex
 - Subdifferentiable
 - Lipschitz-Continuous
 - ◇ LShaped Method
 - Feasibility Cuts
 - Multicut methods
 - Regularized methods. Trust region and regularized decomposition.
 - Bunching and Trickleing Down

WOW!

- ◇ Bounds
 - Jensen's Inequality
 - Edmunson-Madansky Inequality
- Monte Carlo Methods
 - ◇ Valid Statistical Lower and Upper Bounds on optimal objective function value
 - ◇ Variance Reduction (Latin Hypercube Sampling)
 - ◇ Convergence of Optimal Solutions

Math Stuff We've Learned

- KKT Conditions
- Convexity
- (Lipschitz)-Continuity
- Minkowski Sum
- Weak-Strong Law of Large Numbers
- Central Limit Theorem
- Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integral
- L'Hopital's Rule

Comouter Stuff We've Learned

- AMPL! Lots and lots of AMPL.
- Numerical Integration
- MPS format
- SMPS
- High Performance Computing
 - ◇ SMP
 - ◇ Message Passing
- Grid Computing
 - ◇ Condor

Survey Time

- A simple show of hands please...
 - What Next?
 - ◇ Stochastic MIP
 - ◇ Probabilistic Constraints?
 - ◇ Multi-Stage Stochastic LP?
-
- Next Time...
 - ◇ Go over old homeworks
 - ◇ Get Homework “#5–#6”

Remainder of Course

- Start calling for people's projects to be done *soon!*
 - Only 8 more classes left. Last 3–4 classes will be (interspersed) with project presentations \Rightarrow you have roughly 2–3 weeks to finish.
 - There will be 1.5 more assignments
- \Rightarrow There is *LOTS* of work that you have left to do for this course!