

## Multistage Stochastic Programming

Prof. Jeff Linderoth

April 16, 2003



#### • HW Fixes

- Multistage Stochastic Programming
  - ♦ Modeling
  - ♦ Nested Decomposition Method

#### **Homework Fixes**

- Problem 2...
  - ◊ Ω<sup>I</sup> = {ω<sub>1</sub> × ω<sub>2</sub> | 1 ≤ ω<sub>1</sub> ≤ 5/2, 1/3 ≤ ω<sub>2</sub> ≤ 2/3}
    ◊ Ω<sup>II</sup> = {ω<sub>1</sub> × ω<sub>2</sub> | 5/2 ≤ ω<sub>1</sub> ≤ 4, 1/3 ≤ ω<sub>2</sub> ≤ 2/3}
- Problem 3...

 $\diamond\,$  The constraints with  $\omega$  should be *equality* constraints

- Problem 4...
  - ♦ Should be a *maximization* problem

Grade Data Collection

#### Stochastic Integer Programming (Please Don't Call On Me!)

- How would you solve a really small instance?
- How would you solve an instance with integer variables only in the first stage?
- What is an "optimality cut" in the context of the integer L-Shaped Method?
- For what problem are the optimality cuts we showed last time valid?
- Name one manner in which we might obtain lower and upper bounds to use in the stochastic branch and bound method

## Jacob and MIT

- We are given a universe N of investment decisions
- We have a set  $\mathcal{T} = \{1, 2, \dots T\}$  of investment periods
- Let  $\omega_{it}, i \in N, t \in \mathcal{T}$  be the return of investment  $i \in N$  in period  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ .
- If we exceed our goal G, we get an interest rate of q that Helen and I can enjoy in our golden years
- If we don't meet the goal of *G*, Helen and I will have to borrow money at a rate of *r* so that Jacob can go to MIT.
- We have \$*b* now.

# Variables

- *x<sub>it</sub>*, *i* ∈ *N*, *t* ∈ *T*: Amount of money to invest in vehicle *i* during period *t*
- *y* : Excess money at the end of horizon
- *w* : Shortage in money at the end of the horizon

#### (Deterministic) Formulation

maximize

$$qy + rw$$

$$\sum_{i \in N} x_{i1} = b$$

$$\sum_{i \in N} \omega_{it} x_{i,t-1} = \sum_{i \in N} x_{it} \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{T} \setminus 1$$

$$\sum_{i \in N} \omega_{iT} x_{iT} - y + w = G$$

$$x_{it} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in N, t \in \mathcal{T}$$

$$y, w \geq 0$$

#### **One Way to Model**

- One way to model this is to create copies of the variables for every scenario at every time period.
- Then we need to enforce *nonanticipativity*...
- Define  $S_s^t$  as the set of scenarios that are equivalent (or indistinguishable) to scenario s at time t

#### A Stochastic Version – Explicit Nonanticipativity

maximize

$$\sum_{s\in S} p_s(qy_s - rw_s)$$

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i \in N} x_{i1} &= b \\ \sum_{i \in N} \omega_{its} x_{i,t-1,s} &= \sum_{i \in N} x_{its} \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{T} \setminus 1, \forall s \in S \\ \sum_{i \in N} \omega_{iT} x_{iTs} - y_s + w_s &= G \quad \forall s \in S \\ x_{its} &= x_{its'} \; \forall i \in N, \forall t \in \mathcal{T}, \forall s \in S, \forall s' \in S_s^t \\ x_{its} &\geq 0 \quad \forall i \in N, t \in \mathcal{T}, \forall s \in S \\ y_s, w_s &\geq 0 \quad \forall s \in S \end{split}$$



- We can also enforce nonanticipativity by just not creating the "wrong" variables
- We have a vector of variables for each node in the tree.
- This vector corresponds to what our decision would be given the realizations of the random variables we have seen so far.
- Index the nodes  $l = 1, 2, \dots \mathcal{L}$ .
- We will need to know the "parent" of any node.
- Let A(l) be the ancestor of node  $l \in \mathcal{L}$  in the scenario tree.



#### Another Multistage formulation

maximize

$$\sum_{s \in S} p_s(qy_s - rw_s)$$

$$\sum_{i \in N} x_{i1} = b$$

$$\sum_{i \in N} \omega_{il} x_{i,A(l)} = \sum_{i \in N} x_{il} \quad \forall l \in \mathcal{L} \setminus 1$$

$$\sum_{i \in N} \omega_{iA(s)} x_{iA(s)} - y_s + w_s = G \quad \forall s \in S$$

$$x_{il} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in N, \forall l \in \mathcal{L}$$

$$y_s, w_s \geq 0 \quad \forall s \in S$$

#### Multistage Stochastic LP—Implicit Nonanticipativity

$$\min_{x_1} \left\{ c_1 x_1 + \mathbb{E}_{\omega_2} \left[ c_2 x_2 + \mathbb{E}_{\omega_3 \mid \omega_2} \left[ \min_{x_3} + \dots + \mathbb{E}_{\omega_T \mid \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{T-1}} \left[ \min_{x_T} c_T x_T \right] \right] \right] \right\}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
A_1 x_1 & = & h_1 \\
A_2(\omega_2) x_1 & +W_2 x_2(\omega_2) & \\
& & A(\omega_3) x_2(\omega_2) & +W_3 x_3(\omega_3) & = & h_3(\omega_3) \\
& & & \ddots & \vdots \\
\end{array}$$

$$A(\omega_T)x_{T-1}(\omega_{T-1}) + W_Tx_T(\omega_T) = h_T(\omega_T)$$
  
> 0

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & - & \\ x_2(\omega_2) & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

$$\geq 0$$
  
 $x_T(\omega_T) \geq 0$ 

•••

 $x_1$ 

### **Nested Decomposition Procedure**

- A (The?) method for solving multistage stochastic programs
- Just like a recursive version of the L-Shaped Method
- Parent nodes send "proposals" for solutions to their children nodes
- Child nodes send cuts to their parents
- There are different "sequence procedures" that tell in which order the problems corresponding to different nodes in the scenario tree are solved.

#### **Nested Decomposition**

- "Fast Forward-Fast Back"
  - Most common sequencing procedure
  - ♦ Do all nodes at a time period
  - If you find a problem infeasible, create the feasibility cut and reverse direction
  - If problem has (relatively) complete recourse, it amounts to breadth-first search of on the scenario tree

#### **Nested Decomposition**

• Cuts have the form  $(\theta \ge e - Ex)$ , where

$$e = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{D}} (p_k | p_j) \left[ \pi_k^T h_k + \sigma_k^T e_k \right]$$

$$E = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{D}} (p_k | p_j) \pi_k^T T_k$$

- Planning Production of Air Conditioners (ACs) for next three months
- In each month we can produce at most 200 ACs for \$100 each
- We can use overtime workers if the demand is heavy, but then the cost per unit is \$300
- The demand in the first month is 100
- The demand in the second and third months is random. With 50% probability it will be either 100 or 300.
- We can store ACs at a cost of \$50/month
- We *must* meet all demand
- No salvage/disposal costs at the end of the horizon

## Hot! Hot! Hot! Model

Variables

- $x_t$ —Number of ACs to produce (regular) in time t
- $w_t$ —Number of ACs to produce (overtime) in time t
- y<sub>t</sub>—Number of ACs to carry over in inventory at the end of time
   t
- $d_t$ —Demand for ACs in time t



 $\min x_t + 3w_t + 0.5y_t + \theta_l$ 

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_t &\leq& 2\\ y_{t-1} + x_t + w_t - y_t &=& d_l\\ \theta_l &\geq& e_k - E_k(x,w,y)^T \quad \forall \text{cuts at this node}\\ \text{All vars} &\geq& 0 \end{array}$$





- We're going to do a couple iterations of Nested Decomposition.
- Pay Attention, it will probably be on the final

April 23 Presentations...

(Only going to do five people, since you will have to fill out evaluations on that day too...)

- Banu Gemici
- Rui Kang
- Jen Rogers
- Jerry Shen
- Clara Novoa
- Next time—Probabilistic Constraints