## IE 495 - Lecture 8

# SMPS and the Recourse Function 

Prof. Jeff Linderoth

February 5, 2003

## Outline

- Formulating Stochastic Program(s).
$\diamond$ SMPS format
$\diamond$ Jacob \& MIT
- Two stage problems with recourse - Expected value function


## Please don't call on me!

- What are the KKT conditions?
- Who is Karmarkar?
- The simplex method is a polynomial time algorithm for linear programming.
- True or False: MPS format is a concise, new format for expressing linear and integer programs?
- Explain two ways to model "your favorite eight letter word"?


## Multistage Formulation-Implicit Nonanticipativity

maximize

$$
\sum_{s \in S} p_{s}\left(q y_{s}-r w_{s}\right)
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \in N} x_{i 1} & =b \\
\sum_{i \in N} \omega_{i l} x_{i, A(l)} & =\sum_{i \in N} x_{i l} \quad \forall l \in \mathcal{L} \backslash 1 \\
\sum_{i \in N} \omega_{i A(s)} x_{i A(s)}-y_{s}+w_{s} & =G \quad \forall s \in S
\end{aligned}
$$

## SMPS

- Multistage problems are based on the "event-decision" model

- All random "stuff" must be in the stage associated with the decision.


## $\omega$ in "Wrong" Stage

- To get SMPS to "work". Let's rewrite the problem so that the $\omega_{i t}$ are associated with variables in stage $t$
- Let $x_{i t}$ : Amount of money invested in $i$ in time $t$
- Let $y_{i t}$ : Amount of money you have in $i$ at time $t$
- $\left(1 / \omega_{i t}\right) y_{i t}=x_{i, t-1}$


## An Equivalent (Longer) Formulation

maximize

$$
q E+r U
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \in N} x_{i 1} & =b \\
\omega_{i t}^{-1} y_{i t}-x_{i, t-1} & =0 \\
\sum_{i \in N} x_{i t}-\sum_{i \in N} y_{i t} & =0
\end{aligned} \begin{array}{ll} 
\\
\sum_{i \in N} y_{i T}-E+B & =G \in N, \forall t \in \mathcal{T} \backslash 1 \\
x_{i t} & \geq 0 \\
y_{i t} & \geq 0 \\
E, U & \geq 0
\end{array}
$$

## The Matrix

- $N=\{\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{B}\}$
- $\mathrm{T}=4$

| ${ }^{x} S_{S 1} \quad{ }^{x} B 1$ | $y_{S 2} \quad y_{B 2}$ | ${ }^{x} S 2 \quad{ }^{x} B 2$ | $y_{S 3} \quad y_{B 3}$ | ${ }^{x}$ S3 $\quad{ }^{x}$ B3 | $y_{S 4} \quad y_{B 4}$ | E U |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \quad 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $b$ |
| $-1$ $-1$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 1 / \omega_{S} & \\ & 1 / \omega_{B} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0 0 |
|  | $-1 \quad-1$ | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | $-1$ $-1$ | $\begin{aligned} 1 / \omega_{S} & \\ & 1 / \omega_{B} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 0 <br> 0 |
|  |  |  | -1 -1 | 1 |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} -1 & -1 \end{array}$ | $1 / \omega_{S} \quad 1 \quad 1 / \omega_{B}$ |  | 0 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 11 | $-1$ | $G$ |

## SMPS Format

- How do we specify a stochastic programming instance to the solver?
- We could form the deterministic equivalent ourselves, but you saw how unnatural that seems.
$\diamond$ For really big problems, forming the deterministic equivalent is out of the questions.
$\diamond$ We need to just specify the random parts of the model.
- We can do this using SMPS format
$\diamond$ Actually, other than (explicity) forming the deterministic equivalent in a modeling language, this is the ONLY way.
* There is some recent research work in developed stochastic programming support in an AML.


## SMPS Components

- Core file
$\diamond$ Like MPS file for "base" instance
- Time file
$\diamond$ Specifies the time dependence structure
- Stoch file
$\diamond$ Specifies the randomness


## SMPS

- The SMPS format is broad ${ }^{\text {a }}$
$\diamond$ There are very few (if any) full implementations, that simply read the full format
$\diamond$ No solver will solve all instances that can be expressed in the format.
- The SMPS format is (seemingly) being changed.
- A good site...
$\diamond$ http://www.mgmt.dal.ca/sba/profs/hgassmann/SMPS2.htm

[^0]
## Papers

- I handed out to you...
$\diamond$ J.R. Birge, M.A.H. Dempster, H.I. Gassmann, E.A. Gunn, A.J. King and S.W. Wallace, "A standard input format for multiperiod stochastic linear programs", COAL Newsletter \#17 (1987) pp. 1-19.
- There are more. For example...
$\diamond$ H.I. Gassmann and E. Schweitzer, "A comprehensive input format for stochastic linear programs", Annals of Operations Research 104 (2001) 89-125.
- Any questions?


## SMPS Core File

- Like an MPS file specifying a "base" scenario
* Must permute the rows and columns so that the time indexing is sequential

| NAME | jake |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROWS |  |  |  |  |
| N obj |  |  |  |  |
| E c1 |  |  |  |  |
| E c2 |  |  |  |  |
| E c3 |  |  |  |  |
| E c4 |  |  |  |  |
| E c5 |  |  |  |  |
| E c6 |  |  |  |  |
| E c7 |  |  |  |  |
| E c8 |  |  |  |  |
| E c9 |  |  |  |  |
| E c10 |  |  |  |  |
| COLUMNS |  |  |  |  |
| xs 1 | c1 | 1 | c2 | -1 |
| xb 1 | c1 | 1 | c3 | -1 |
| ys2 | c2 | 999 | c4 | -1 |
| yb2 | c3 | 888 | c4 | -1 |
| xs 2 | c4 | 1 | c5 | -1 |
| xb 2 | c4 | 1 | c6 | -1 |
| ys3 | c5 | 999 | c7 | -1 |
| yb3 | c6 | 888 | c7 | -1 |
| xs3 | c7 | 1 | c8 | -1 |
| xb3 | c7 | 1 | c9 | -1 |
| ys4 | c8 | 999 | c10 | 1 |
| yb4 | c9 | 888 | c10 | 1 |
| t | obj | -0.05 | c10 | -1 |
| s | obj | 1.1 | c10 | 1 |
| RHS |  |  |  |  |
| rhs | c1 | 10000 | c10 | 15000 |
| ENDATA |  |  |  |  |


$\diamond$ Specify which row/column starts each time period.

* Must be sequential!
*23456789 123456789123456789
TIME jake
PERIODS IMPLICIT
xs1 c1 T1
ys2 c2 T2
ys3 c5 T3
ys4 c8 T4
ENDATA


## Stoch File

- BLOCKS
$\diamond$ Specify a "block" of parameters that changes together
- INDEP
$\diamond$ Specify that all the parameters you are specifying are all independent random variables
- SCENARIO
$\diamond$ Specify a "base" scenario
$\diamond$ Specify what things change and when...


## jake.stoch

| *23456789 | 123456789 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STOCH | jake |  |  |
| *23456789 | 123456789 | 123456789 | 123456789 |
| BLOCKS | DISCRETE |  |  |
| BL BLOCK1 | T2 | 0.5 |  |
| ys2 | c2 | 0.8 |  |
| yb2 | c3 | 0.8772 |  |
| BL BLOCK1 | T2 | 0.5 |  |
| ys2 | c2 | 0.9434 |  |
| yb2 | c3 | 0.8929 |  |
| BL BLOCK2 | T3 | 0.5 |  |
| ys3 | c5 | 0.8 |  |
| yb3 | c6 | 0.8772 |  |
| BL BLOCK2 | T3 | 0.5 |  |
| ys3 | c5 | 0.9434 |  |
| yb3 | c6 | 0.8929 |  |
| BL BLOCK3 | T4 | 0.5 |  |
| ys4 | c8 | 0.8 |  |
| yb4 | c9 | 0.8772 |  |
| BL BLOCK3 | T4 | 0.5 |  |
| ys4 | c8 | 0.9434 |  |
| yb4 | c9 | 0.8929 |  |
| ENDATA |  |  |  |

## An INDEP Example

| $* 23456789$ | 123456789 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| STOCH | jake |  |  |
| *23456789 | 123456789 | 123456789 | 123456789 |
| INDEP | DISCRETE |  |  |
| ys2 | c2 | 0.8 | 0.333 |
| ys2 | c2 | 1.0 | 0.333 |
| ys2 | c2 | 1.05 | 0.333 |
| * |  |  |  |
| yb2 | c3 | 0.9 | 0.125 |
| yb2 | c3 | 0.99 | 0.675 |
| yb2 | c3 | 1.0 | 0.125 |

ENDATA

## NEOS

- If we have files in SMPS format, how do we solve the resulting instance?
- We can use NEOS!
http://www.mcs.anl.gov/neos
- There are currently two solvers
$\diamond$ CPA-Works for two-stage LP
$\diamond$ MSLIP—Works for multistage LP
- I am working on getting others that we can use.
* You will (likely) be asked to solve some an instance on your next homework assignment


## Math Time! Two-Stage SLP w/Fixed Recourse

minimize

$$
c^{T} x+\mathbb{E}_{\omega}\left[q^{T} y\right]
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
A x & =b \\
T(\omega) x+W y(\omega) & =h(\omega) \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega \\
x & \in X \\
y(\omega) & \in Y
\end{aligned}
$$

- $Q(x, \omega)=\min _{y \in Y}\left\{q^{T} y: W y=h(\omega)-T(\omega) x\right\}$


## Some Notation Review

$$
\min _{x \in X: A x=b}\left\{c^{T} x+\mathbb{E}_{\omega}\left[\min _{y \in Y}\left\{q^{T} y: W y=h(\omega)-T(\omega) x\right\}\right]\right\}
$$

- Second stage value function, or recourse (penalty) function $v: \Re^{m} \mapsto \Re$.
- $v(z) \equiv \min _{y \in Y}\left\{q^{T} y: W y=z\right\}$,
$\diamond$ Given "policy" $x$ and realization of randomness $\omega$
$\diamond$ If $z$ measures the first-stage deviation $z=h(\omega)-T(\omega) x$, $v(z)$ is the minimum cost way to "correct" so that the constraints hold again.
- $Q(x, \omega)=v(h(\omega)-T(\omega) x)$


## More Notation

- Expected Value Function, or Expected minimium recourse function $\mathcal{Q}: \Re^{n} \mapsto \Re$.
$\diamond \mathcal{Q}(x) \equiv \mathbb{E}_{\omega}[Q(x, \omega)]$
$\diamond$ For any policy $x \in \Re^{n}$, it describes the expected cost of the recourse.


## The SP Problem

- Using these definitions, we can write our recourse problem in terms only of the $x$ variables:

$$
\min _{x \in X}\left\{c^{T} x+\mathcal{Q}(x): A x=b\right\}
$$

- This is a (nonlinear) programming problem in $\Re^{n}$.
$\Rightarrow$ The ease of solving such a problem depends on the properties of $\mathcal{Q}(x)$.
? Does anyone know what $\mathcal{Q}(x)$ is?
$\diamond$ Linear,(?) Convex,(?) Continuous,(?) Differentiable(?)
- This is what we are going to study for a while...


## Here We Go

- For the time being, let $Y=\Re_{+}^{p}$.

$$
v(z)=\min _{y \in \Re_{+}^{p}}\left\{q^{T} y: W y=z\right\}, z \in \Re^{m}
$$

- Thus, for a fixed $z$, we solve a linear program to evaluate $v(z)$.
- Assume that LP duality holds $\forall z \in \Re^{m}$
$\diamond$ Only for the time being!
$\diamond$ We'll reconsider later...
$\diamond-\infty<v(z)<\infty$
$\star$ This is some notation. Let
- $\left\{y \in \Re_{+}^{p}: W y=z\right\}=\emptyset \Rightarrow v(z)=\infty$
- $\exists d \in \Re_{+}^{n}: W d=0, q^{T} d<0 \Rightarrow v(z)=-\infty$.


## Proofs

- So if LP duality holds...

$$
v(z)=\min _{y \in \Re_{+}^{p}}\left\{q^{T} y: W y=z\right\}=\max _{t \in \Re^{m}}\left\{z^{T} t: W^{T} t \leq q\right\}
$$

- Let $\Lambda=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{|\Lambda|}\right\}$ be the set of extreme points of $\left\{t \in \Re^{m} \mid W^{T} t \leq q\right\}$.
$\diamond$ Each of those extreme points $\lambda_{k}$ is potentially an optimal solution to the LP.
$\diamond$ In fact, we are sure that there is no optimal solution better than one that occurs at an extreme point, so we can write...

$$
v(z)=\max _{k=1, \ldots,|\Lambda|}\left\{z^{T} \lambda_{k}\right\}, z \in \Re^{m} .
$$

## What's All This?

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha v\left(z_{1}\right)+(1-\alpha) v\left(z_{2}\right) & =\max _{k=1,2, \ldots|\Lambda|} z_{1}^{T} \lambda_{k}+(1-\alpha) \max _{k=1,2, \ldots|\Lambda|} z_{2}^{T} \lambda_{k} \\
& \leq \alpha z_{1}^{T} \lambda_{k}^{*}+(1-\alpha) z_{2}^{T} \lambda_{k}^{*} \\
& =\left(\alpha z_{1}+(1-\alpha) z_{2}\right)^{T} \lambda_{k}^{*} \\
& \leq \max _{k=1,2, \ldots|\Lambda|}\left[\left(\alpha z_{1}+(1-\alpha) z_{2}\right)^{T} \lambda_{k}\right] \\
& =v\left(\left(\alpha z_{1}+(1-\alpha) z_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

? What did I just prove?

## Convex!

- $v(z)$ is convex of $z \in \Re^{m}$.
- In fact...
$\diamond$ Thm: If $f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x), \ldots f_{q}(x)$ is an arbitrary collection of convex functions, then $M(x)=\max \left\{f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x), \ldots f_{q}(x)\right\}$ is also a convex function.



## I Don't Care About $v$

? What about $Q(x, \omega)$ ?
$\diamond \operatorname{Recall} Q(x, \omega) \equiv v(h(\omega)-T(\omega) x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \lambda Q\left(x_{1}, \omega\right)+(1-\lambda) Q\left(x_{2}, \omega\right) \\
& =\quad \lambda v\left(h(\omega)-T(\omega) x_{1}\right)+(1-\lambda) v\left(h(\omega)-T(\omega) x_{2}\right) \\
& \geq v\left(\lambda\left(h(\omega)-T(\omega) x_{1}\right)+(1-\lambda)\left(h(\omega)-T(\omega) x_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =v\left(h(\omega)-T(\omega)\left(\lambda x_{1}+(1-\lambda) x_{2}\right)\right) \\
& = \\
& Q\left(\lambda x_{1}+(1-\lambda) x_{2}, \omega\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Quite Enough Done

## Continuing On

- So $Q(x, \omega)$ is convex in $x$ for a fixed $\omega$.
- In fact...
$\diamond$ Thm: If $A$ is a linear transformation from $\Re^{n} \mapsto \Re^{n}$, and $f(x)$ is a convex function on $\Re^{m}$, the composite function $(f A)(x) \equiv f(A x)$ is a convex function on $\Re^{n}$.


## Almost Done...

- What about $\mathcal{Q}(x) \equiv \mathbb{E}_{\omega} Q(x, \omega)$
$\diamond$ From the remainder of today, let's assume that $\omega$ comes from a probability space with finite support.
$\diamond$ This means that there are finite number of discrete values $\left\{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots, \omega_{m}\right\}$ that $\omega$ can take.

$$
\mathcal{Q}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} P\left(\omega=\omega_{i}\right) Q\left(x, \omega_{i}\right)
$$

## Finishing up

- Thm: If $f(x)$ is convex, and $\alpha \geq 0, g(x) \equiv \alpha f(x)$ is convex.
- Thm: If $f_{k}(x), k=1,2, \ldots K$ are convex functions, so is $g(x) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{K} f_{k}(x)$.
- Put it all together and you get...
$\star \mathcal{Q}(x)$ is a convex function of $x$.


## What assumptions have we made so far?

- LP duality holds $\forall z=(h(\omega)-T(\omega) x) \in \Re^{m}$
- $\omega$ has finite support


## Next time

- Discuss these assumptions
- Show more properties of $\mathcal{Q}(x)$
$\diamond$ Optimality conditions
- Homework \#2. :-(
$\diamond$ It will be less time consuming that HW\#1


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Too broad, IMO

